## MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD

## **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.445 OF 2015**

DISTRICT:- JALNA

...APPLICANT

-----

Ganesh s/o. Jairam Anmod, Age: 50 years, Occ. Government Service, Presently posted as Talathi, Dhaipal, Kandhane, Tq. Mantha, Dist. Jalna.

## VERSUS

- The State of Maharashtra,
   Through the Secretary to the Government,
   General Administration Department,
   Mantralaya, Mumbai.
- 2. The District Collector, Jalna.
- 3. The Sub-divisional Officer, Partur, Taluka Partur, District Jalna.
- 4. Tahasildar, Partur,
  Taluka Partur, District Jalna. ... RESPONDENTS

-----

**APPEARANCE**: Shri Hemant Surve, Advocate for the

Applicants.

Shri D.R.Patil, Presenting Officer for

the respondents.

-----

**CORAM** : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

-----

PER: JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

------

Reserved on : 02-04-2019

**Pronounced on:** 22.04.2019

## ORDER:

- 1. Heard Shri Hemant Surve learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for the respondents. Perused the record.
- 2. Applicant has approached this Tribunal by present O.A. with following prayers:
  - "(B) After holding that the applicant cannot be held guilty for any of the charges leveled against the applicant as well as on the point of non-maintainability of the departmental enquiry against the applicant for want of initiation under the signature of the Disciplinary Authority, the entire departmental enquiry held against the applicant may kindly be quashed and set aside and the applicant be exonerated from the charges leveled against him;
  - (C) Consequence thereof, the impugned order passed by the appellate authority, being the District Collector, Jalna, may kindly be ordered to be quashed and set aside;"

(Quoted from paper book page 8)

3. In the midst of hearing, it has transpired that the charge sheet which was served on the applicant has already culminated into imposition of minor penalty of censure.

3 O.A.No.445/15

Learned Advocate for the applicant is not able to

demonstrate as to whether the said penalty is challenged or

acquiesced.

4. In the aforesaid premises, this Tribunal sees no

reason to cause any indulgence in the present O.A. Hence,

O.A. is dismissed.

5. Parties are directed to bear their own costs.

(ATUL RAJ CHADHA)
MEMBER (A)

(A.H.JOSHI) CHAIRMAN

Place: Aurangabad

Date: 22.04.2019.